

SECRET

100A 58866 101

Chief, BE  
Chief, RUDEGX  
Chief of Base, Frankfurt AGN: ☐  
Chief of Station, Germany

Chief, Munich Operations Group ☐  
CARGO UPHILL ☐ Operations ☐  
Intelligence Approaches to Subject ☐  
☐ since WW II  
Traces per para. 7; assign 201 for  
SYNOPSIS

5 June 1962

A. EOMA-58866, 23 May 1962  
B. EOMA-58865, 1 June 1962

1. As part of our investigation and assessment of Subject, we have asked him on several occasions to describe his contacts with any intelligence service since his release from internment in November 1947. He asserts that he has not worked for any intelligence service since 1945, and based on our limited investigation this appears correct. However, he has certainly brushed with numerous persons now active in intelligence. This dispatch covers the main intelligence approaches made to Subject since 1947, based upon his statements.

2. In Reference B, we reported on what may have been an attempt by the East to locate Subject in February 1951.

3. In 1953 or 1954 a German (name unknown) who, as best as Subject can recall, identified himself as a member of the GERLEN Organization, visited Subject at the Kaiserhof Hotel in Bad Toelz. He was interested in questioning Subject on his knowledge of the Rote Kapelle; but Subject replied that he knew very little about the R.K. and suggested that Hermann HENSELER (Note: RSHA agent during WW II in Switzerland) be contacted. It is possible that this man also made a pitch to Subject, but in any event Subject was then very occupied with the rebuilding and refurbishing of the Kaiserhof Hotel. In the same year Subject received offers from two magazines to publish his experiences and an offer of a post from the Deutsche Reichspartei, all of which he likewise refused.

4. About the same time Subject was visited by two former members of the SD, a lawyer MEHLHORN and a Mr. MARMON, who were in the company of an Englishman (name unknown). Allegedly nothing of interest was discussed, and Subject does not remember any intelligence topics being raised.

Comments: Munich files have no traces on MARMON. MEHLHORN is probably identical with Dr. Herbert MEHLHORN, born 24 March 1903, who was a member of the SS and SD; and who was living in Munich as a lawyer in 1958.

5. After his release from internment, Subject claims he made no efforts to seek out former members of the Waffen SS or RSHA. In spite of invitations he has never attended HIAO meetings nor the meetings of former Toelzer or Braunschweiler. Since 1947 he has, of course, been visited by many people, but he cannot recall any specific instances where he has received a pitch to work for a service.

6. In Reference A we have partially described Subject's contact with UPHILL in recent years; but wish to give a more complete

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

CS COPY

EXEMPTIONS Section 3(b)  
(2)(A) Privacy ☐  
(2)(B) Methods/Sources ☒  
(2)(G) Foreign Relations ☐

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2D  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2001 2008

NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT

Declassified and Approved for Release  
by the Central Intelligence Agency  
Date: 2001


account here, since it illustrates so well how the ex-Amt VI group sticks together and has gone back to work since the war. On the basis of the information in paragraph 10 of Reference A, we requested Subject on his contact with STEIMLE and HUEGEL, and have gotten the following amplification:


- a. Sometime in 1959 or 1960, Dr. STEIMLE first visited Subject at his business in Lindau. He was accompanied by his wife, Heinz \*PANNWITZ [ ] and PANNWITZ' wife. At the urging of WIEDEMANN (Melitta \*WIEDEMANN: [ ]), PANNWITZ wanted to write a book jointly with Subject for the rehabilitation of the SS by presenting an account of certain events and experiences during the war. PANNWITZ was then without work, although drawing a pension despite his former Gestapo membership. Later he came active for the Hausparverein Wuestenrot.
- b. On this occasion STEIMLE said only that he had spent some years in Russian captivity and was now working as a Studienrat in Wilhelmsburg (?) near Ravensburg in a large private school.
- c. When STEIMLE visited Subject for the second time, probably in early 1961, he brought Dr. HUEGEL along. They were waiting for Subject at his home one evening and stayed a relatively short time. HUEGEL is believed to have said that he was employed in the legal department of Porsche in Friedrichshafen. Subject raised the question of educational possibilities for his daughter. It was probably then that STEIMLE asked Subject if he would go to work again in intelligence. At first Subject refused in principle, but then qualified this, saying he would work, if his family's needs could all be taken care of. Some time later STEIMLE telephoned Subject and arranged for another visit. Upon STEIMLE's arrival it was clear that this was not just a formal visit and Subject asked what STEIMLE was interested in. STEIMLE explained that he in conjunction with a publisher had written an opinion on Walter SCHELLENBERG's book and asked for Subject's critique and comments. Subject claims he rejected a number of STEIMLE's opinions and ideas on SCHELLENBERG. STEIMLE gave Subject a copy of SCHELLENBERG's book and left.
- d. In the summer or fall of 1961, Subject's wife at his request telephoned STEIMLE to ask for a chance to talk. STEIMLE was willing, but the meeting never took place. Subject states that he intended to ask STEIMLE about possibilities for work, perhaps with HUEGEL's help, because Subject had given up his business in Lindau.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

e. Since then there has been no further contact between Subject and either HUEGEL, or STEIMLE.

7. Request for Traces: Headquarters' and COS traces are requested on Dr. Eugen STEIMLE identified in Attachment A. Also please assign a 201 number. Traces are also requested on Dr. Klaus HUEGEL (see Attachment B) from COS; traces on HUEGEL were received from Headquarters in May 1961. Munich traces on both individuals are attached.


Approved by 

Concurred with 

Attachments Herewith

- A. Data on Dr. Eugen STEIMLE
- B. Data on Dr. Klaus HUEGEL

Distribution

- 3-EE w/att hw A & B  
(201 ~~copy~~ w/att hw A & B)
- ( w/att hw A & B)
- 2-COS/G w-att hw A & B
- 2-FCB w/att hw A & B
- 2-C/KUDESZ w/att hw A & B

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

5 JUN 62

(Dr.) Eugen #\*STEIMLE  
DOB: 3 December 1909  
POB: not known

previously opened

1. According to the German Primer, STEIMLE was a SS Standartenfuehrer; was with the Militarisches Amt RSHA in August 1944. He was appointed Untersturmfuehrer on 13 September 1936; promoted to Obersturmfuehrer by March 1941; in the SD in 1938; Fuehrer SD Leitabs Stuttgart in November 1939; given the additional post of head of the Fuehrungskreis des N. S. Altherrenbundes in March 1941; on active service in September 1942; variously reported as head of the Swiss, Iberian and North-Western sections of Amt VI RSHA, but appears to have been KALTENBRUNNER's personal assistant for Western Europe from November 1943 onwards. Party No. 1,075,555; SS No. 272, 575.

2. Munich files contain no traces.

3. As is known, STEIMLE was Chief of Amt VI B, RSHA, Berlin, during the latter war years. As reported in EGMA-58886, 23 May 1962, [ ] of KUDESK talked with STEIMLE on 24 April 1962 when STEIMLE was brought to Munich from Stuttgart by UPHILL. Based on this experience, our best judgment of STEIMLE's relationship to UPHILL is that he is not a full-time staffer, but is probably something more than just a special connection. He obviously appears to be at UPHILL's disposal; and apparently must keep close contact with Dr. Klaus HUEGEL [ ], a former chief of Amt VI B 3.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

CS COPY